115/23/37

Your f e: 3/88/1

Our file: 701/19/1/3/7

17:22 (5541	)	700/LGL/00000/00000	\$249.91
FROM: WE	LLINGTON	C24445/WN1	13-Jun-1994
TO: NE	W YORK	WGTN UNSC	Immediate
BR GE LO MO PA TO	IJING USSELS NEVA NDON SCOW RIS KYO FENCE	BONN CANBERRA HARARE MADRID OTTAWA SANTIAGO WASHINGTON	Routine Routine Routine Routine Routine Routine Routine Routine Routine
MFAT	(LGL, MEA, UNC,	(LGL, MEA, UNC, ISAC, HRU, EUR, DP3, DSP3, EAB)	
P/S MFA DEFENCE HQN DEFENCE MOD		DDI)	,

Subject

U51656: SECURITY COUNCIL: RWANDA

THE STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE P

Your C04600 and accompanying fax refer.

## Commission of Experts

- 2 The call for establishment of a Commission of Experts on Rwanda represents a natural follow-on from the requests addressed to the SecGen in the Presidential statement of 30 April 1994 and SR 918 (1994).
- We have only one substantive point to make on the Spanish draft resolution: we recommend the deletion of "grave" from OPs 1 and 2, where it is currently used to qualify "violations of international humanitarian law". In our view, the Commission should not be required to draw a distinction between violations of ihl and grave violations of ihl. It appears that the word "grave" may be a hang-over from Res 780 on which the Spanish draft is largely based. (That resolution referred to "violations of international humanitarian law, including grave breaches of the Geneva Conventions...")
- 4 Once the Commission of Experts on Rwanda is established, the next obvious question will be the establishment of a tribunal. While we share the US/UK concerns about the difficulties associated with getting the Yugoslav war crimes tribunal up and running, we agree fully with your para 7 that the UN <u>must</u> be seen to be treating Rwanda with the same degree of seriousness with which it treated Yugoslavia.

## Exclusion of Rwanda from Security Council

 $^{5}\,$  We look forward to your further reporting based on P5 and other consultations on this issue.

End Message