PROCEEDINGS OF THE SECURITY COUNCIL

P5/17

Wednesday, 13 April 1994

10003170

Rvanda

Mr. Riza gave an extensive briefing to members on the recent political and military continued in Rwanda and answered questions. The Belgian force expected to complete its evacuation tomorrow, there was no effective cease-fire, the RPF had brought troops into the city from the north and south and some units of the government forces were putting up resistance. There was no significant political contact between the two sides, although UNAMIR was trying to transmit messages between the two sides. Instability had been exacerbated by the news of the Belgian decision to withdraw from UNAMIR and rumours that the rest of UNAMIR would depart: this had led to hostility from people who felt they were being abandoned. The Force Commander felt that if the Belgian contingent of UNAMIR left, then the security of the rest of the force could not be quaranteed, nor could the airport remain secure.

UNAMIR: was not capable of performing the tasks under its present mandate. However, it was trying to ensure the safety of UN personnel, evacuating people and escort convoys to Burundi and the airport, carrying out some humanitarian functions, protecting civilians where possible, undertaking specific missions to take people to safety, trying to bring about a cease-fire and truce, and facilitating communications between the two sides. Mr. Riza commended the remarkable performance of the Force Commander in such difficult circumstances (a commendation that was reiterated by France).

CNUMUR: was carrying out its patrolling duties and had not reported the introduction of arms supplies across the border. It was directly linked to UNAMIR, and if the latter force was withdrawn, there would be little reason for ONUMUR to remain.

After delegations had spoken, Mr. Riza raised the question of protecting civilian nationals in the long term, and referred to the critical situation at the stadium and hospital. The protection of civilians would require more resources, and the

Council should consider whether PKOs should be assigned such tasks. [0003171]

Mr. Riza also reported on a cable just received that the RPF and the Rwandan armed forces had agreed to a meeting tomorrow at the request of the latter with the Special Representative and the Force Commander.

** * * * *

Almost all delegations spoke on the question of UNAMIR's future. Generally speaking, the consensus was that neither of the two: "extreme" options were acceptable (referring to a "Somalia-type" peace-enforcement operation and total withdrawal). Delegations were also insistent that the Secretariat should come up by tomorrow with a number of feasible options that came between the two unacceptable options.

Nigeria, on behalf of the NAM Caucus, presented a draft resolution (text attached), encouraging a cease-fire and deciding to increase the strength of UNAMIR and revise its mandate. Nigeria stressed that the concern of the Council should not be limited to the fate of UN personnel and foreigners but should also include the innocent civilians of Rwanda.

Arguments: presented against a complete withdrawal of the force; presented by Nigeria, France and others, included: that only the Belgians had so far expressed this intention; that it would be a bad signal to send to the Rwandan parties and people; that the UN's credibility would suffer (in fact, said Dibouti, it had already suffered when the Prime Minister and others were seized from UN property); that the carnage would spread to the rest of the country and also to Burundi.

France, the United States, the United Kingdom, and Spain referred to the Secretary-General's letter of today, and disagreed, with varying degrees of indignation, with the apparent implication that the withdrawal of the Belgian contingent was a cause of further instability and a reason for UNAMIR's ceasing to exist in future. The UK said the letter was not an adequate reply to the questions posed by the Council, nor an adequate

basis for moving forward. It was a "great pity" that the letter seemed to blame the Belgians rather than the Rwandans, and particularly unfortunate when the accusation was levelled at a contingent that had just lost ten of its nationals. "Even more bizarre" was the implication that everything would be fine if the Belgians remained, when this was palpably not the case.

In response, Mr. de Soto said it had certainly not been the intention of the Secretary-General to blame the Belgians; in fact, his remarks in some way represented a compliment to them by stressing their indispensability. The problem for the immediate future lay in "the tenability of UNAMIR's capacity to discharge its present mandate".

France stressed the need to exert pressure on the RPF, in Kigali, New York and (implicitly) through Uganda. The RPF should be informed of the importance attached by the Council to the UN's continued presence in Kigali. The intervention of the OAU and neighbouring countries could be very important. The UK agreed that the OAU should reinforce the UN's political role, but felt Tanzania and Uganda should be invited "more positively" to help these efforts.

The <u>US</u> was troubled by the difficulty of maintaining UNAMIR at its present level in such circumstances, and suggested leaving a "small skeletal" operation to show the will of the international community. Later, the Council might see what could be done about giving an effective mandate. <u>Russia</u> also approvingly spoke of what it called the "Angolan option", although <u>Dibouti</u> was certainly not in favour of this. <u>New Zealand</u> felt there would need to be a serious security detail left behind if the Special Representative was asked to remain.

Brazil said UNAMIR should be given a "very short and precise" mandate, including the protection of civilians and the promotion of a cease-fire and political dialogue. The UK declared there was no evidence, either now or in the foreseeable future, that UNAMIR would be in a position to protect civilians; the Council should not lend itself to a "tragic fiction" whereby it merely declared that something would be done. New Zealand also had reservations about the feasibility of protecting

civilians, given the possibility of revenge attacks by the minority ethnic group. Much depended on how the RPF would behave after its imminent take-over of Kigali.

A number of delegations, including France and China, expressed their satisfaction with the efforts of UNAMIR so far.

Most: delegations: reiterated that there was an urgent need for a report (oral if necessary) from the Secretariat tomorrow, with concrete recommendations on where UNAMIR could be deployed and what would be the scope of the operation. Mr. Riza assured members that the Secretariat would do its best, while pointing out: the problems of communicating both with the UN representatives in the field and with the Secretary-General in Madrid.

Somalia Commission of Enquiry

It was agreed that if no member had any comment to make to the President on the report of the Commission of Enquiry by tomorrow, there would be no discussion of the subject.

Libya and Chad

Addraft technical resolution was introduced by the President (text attached), and the UK hoped it would be voted on tomorrow. Russia and China were very doubtful about the reference to Chapter VII, but the UK and the US insisted that it was legally necessary. The Chinese suggestion to use its usual favourite phrase about relevant resolutions would not work since there were no relevant resolutions in this case.

PROGRAMME OF WORK

Thursday, 14 April 1994

Informal consultations on Rwanda and other 4.30:pm matters.

Draft Resolution on the Situation in Rwanda

ANN. 11 P9/17

The Security Council.

L0003174

Recalling all its previous resolutions on the conflict in Rwanda, in particular its resolution 872 (1993) of 5 October 1993 by which it established the United Nations Assistance Mission for Rwanda (UNAMIR);

Noting its resolution 909 (1994) of 5 April 1994 which extended the mandate of UNAMIR until 29 July 1994 with a six-week review provision on the understanding that progress will be made in establishing all the transitional institutions under the Arusha Peace Agreement:

Recalling its statement of 7 April 1994 (S/PRST/1994 (16) which, inter alia, reaffirmed the centrality of the Arusha Peace Agreement to the peace process in Rwanda;

Expressing deep regret at the failure of the parties to implement fully the provisions of the Arusha Peace Agreement;

Welcoming the initiatives of the late Presidents of Rwanda and Burundi to work towards a resolution of the conflict in their countries within the framework of a negotiated political settlement and in collaboration with regional leaders;

Shocked at the tragic incident that resulted in the deaths of

the Presidents of Rwanda and Burundi on 6 April 1994;

Appalled at the ensuing large scale violence which has resulted in the death of thousands of innocent civilians, including women and children, and the displacement of a significant number of the Rwandese population;

Deeply concerned by continuing looting, banditry and the breakdown of law and order;

Expressing its deep concern also at the safety and security of UNAMIR and other United Nations personnel who are assisting in implementing the peace process and in distributing humanitarian relief:

Determining that the situation in Rwanda constitutes a threat to peace and security;

- Presidents of Rwanda and Burundi lost their lives, and invites the Secretary General to collect all available information with all means at his disposal and report to the Council as soon as possible;
 - Expresses regret also at the ensuing violence which has

1.0003176

claimed the lives of the Prime Minister, Cabinet Ministers, Government Officials and thousands of other civilians:

- Strongly condemns the attacks against UNAMIR and other United Nations personnel leading to the deaths of several UNAMIR personnel and calls upon all concerned to put an end to these acts of violence and to respect fully international humanitarian law;
- Calls for an immediate cessation of hostilities and an end to the mindless violence and carnage which are engulfing Rwanda; and in this connection, demands that the Rwandese Patriotic Front (RPF) agree without delay to an effective ceasefire and that both parties return to the positions held by them before the present hostilities;
- Decides, in the light of the current security situation in Rwanda, to increase the strength of UNAMIR and to revise its mandate to enable it to contribute to the restoration of law and order and the establishment of the transitional institutions within the framework of the Arusha Peace Agreement;
- crucial importance of Reiterates the implementation of the Arusha Peace Agreement to the settlement of the Rwandan conflict and urges the Organisation of African Unity (OAU) to extend its cooperation to the United Nations in this

regard;

L00031**77**

- 7. Urges all countries, in particular those of the region,
- To refrain from any action that would further exacerbate the situation in Rwanda:
 - 8. <u>Calls upon</u> the parties in Rwanda to accept the futility of a military solution to the political problem and, in this respect, work towards a negotiated political settlement;
 - 9. Calls also upon the parties to cooperate fully in ensuring the unimpeded delivery of humanitarian assistance to all Rwandese throughout the country and in this regard, appeals to the international community to provide increased humanitarian assistance commensurate with the scale of the human tragedy in Rwanda:
 - Rwandese Patriotic Front (RPF) to commit themselves to the peace process leading to a comprehensive political settlement in Rwanda on the basis of the Arusha Peace Agreement;
 - 11. Affirms its commitment to preserve the unity and territorial integrity of Rwanda;

CYX124 P13/17

5

- 12. <u>Invites</u> the Secretary-General to continue to monitor the situation in Rwanda and to report to Council as the situation warrants, at least, not later than fifteen days after the adoption of this resolution;
 - 13. Decides to remain actively seized of the matter.